International Conference Disportare 2018 · 11-12th October 2018



PedagogickáJihočeská univerzitafakultav Českých BudějovicíchFacultyUniversity of South Bohemiaof Educationin České Budějovice

Coordinative Optimization for Sports Skills

David Ribera-Nebot

Sports Performance Institute



Sant Cugat del Vallès · Barcelona



In honor of my friend Vladimir Psalman









Prof. Francisco Seirul·lo Vargas

www.entrenamientodeportivo.org

Complex dynamic systems conception of technical training by Seirul·lo since 1987

DRN, 2015, copying and interpreting Professor Seirul-Io Vargas since 1987

David Ribera-Nebot Sports Performance Institute



COORDINATION CAPACITIES Selected Authors



Schnabel (1965-76)

3 general coordinative capacities:

- motor control,
- adaptation of the movement,
- motor learning
- 5 special coordinative capacities:
 - fine dexterity
 - balance capacity,
 - elasticity of movement,
 - ability of motor combination
 - movement fantasy

Joint mobility or amplitude as coordination-conditional capacity.



Blume (1978-81)

- 3 general coordinative capacities:
 - motor control,
 - adaptation of the movement,
 - motor learning
- 7 coordinative capacities:
 - differentiation
 - coupling,
 - reaction,
 - orientation,
 - preservation of balance,
 - change
 - rhythm



Hirtz (1977-81)

- 5 fundamental coordinative capacities:
 - Spatial Orientation,
 - kinesthetic differentiation,
 - reaction,
 - rhythm
 - Balance.
- 2 power-conditional boundary capabilities:
 - coordinative speed
 - coordinative resistance
- 3 superior coordinative capacities:
 - motor control,
 - motor adaptation,
 - motor learning



COORDINATION CAPACITIES

Francisco Seirul·lo Vargas (1985)



This structure of coordination capacities proposed by professor Seirul lo is based on the person, thus it is applicable to movement education, sport initiation and high performance.



IMPORTANCE OF COORDINATIVE CAPACITIES

The coordinative capacities are important for the development of the performance in all

the sports and the individual level of the particular capacities affects especially the process of the technical-sport improvement (Blume, 1981), being characterized by:

- ensures better, more rational-accelerated and higher-quality learning.
- facilitates the assimilation and mastery of extremely complicated exercises during years of training.
-allows a more rational assimilation of the corporal exercises for the general conditioning, the warm-up for high loads of training and competition and for the active recovery.
- contributes to a better selection of essentially talented athletes.



David Ribera-Nebot ports Performance Institute

GENERAL METHODS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COORDINATION

A starting point for coordinative optimization is the **general methods** used for the development of coordination, such as those proposed by **Blume (1981)**, summarized as follows:

- (a) Variations in the execution of movement (unusual starting position, execution with opposite limb, change technical elements, supplementary movements, ...).
- (b) Combination of movement skills (global and segmentary, known with newly formed skills).
- (c) Changes in the external conditions (terrains, apparatus, vests, partners opposition or resistance, restrict or limit the space of performing skills, ...).
- (d) **Exercises under time pressure** (alter the speed or tempo/rhythm).
- (e) Variations in the reception of information (sensory variations).
- (f) Exercises after a previous load.





INTRA-SYSTEMIC AND INTER-SYSTEMIC COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION



David Ribera-Nebot

INTRA-SYSTEMIC COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION









Preferential interactions within one type of coordination capacities

David Ribera-Nebot orts Performance Institute



Preferential interactions between two types of coordination capacities









Preferential interactions between two types of coordination capacities









Preferential interactions between two types of coordination capacities











INTRA-SYSTEMIC OPTIMIZATION

COORDINATIVE STRUCTURE

EXAMPLE 3



Preferential interactions among three types of coordination capacities

David Ribera-Nebot

LINK TO AN ONLINE EXAMPLE



Coordinative Optimization in Tennis · Ex. 1 · Change direction - Footwork

http://www.motricidadhumana.com/Coordinative_optimization_Tennis_example_1_change_direcc_footwork_by_DRN_2016_7.pdf



INTER-SYSTEMIC COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION







Preferential Coordinative interactions with Conditional Structure





David Ribera-Nebot ports Performance Institute



Preferential Coordinative interactions with Conditional and Cognitive Structures









Preferential Coordinative interactions with Conditional, Cognitive and Socio-Affective Structures





DRN, 2015, copying and interpreting Professor Seirul-Io Vargas since 1986

Preferential Coordinative (2 types) interactions with Emotional-Volitional Structure



David Ribera-Nebot ts Performance Institute



Preferential Coordinative (2 types) interactions with Conditional and Cognitive Structures







DRN, 2015, copying and interpreting Professor Seirul-Io Vargas since 1986

David Ribera-Nebot ports Performance Institute ins ins Sant Cagat del Vallés



Preferential Coordinative (3 types) interactions with Socio-Affective Structure

DRN, 2015, copying and interpreting Professor Seirul-Io Vargas since 1986

David Ribera-Nebot

NUMBER OF INTER-SYSTEMIC COORDINATIVE INTERACTIONS

Any number of inter-systemic coordinative interactions is possible but, in order to maintain a good level of quality preferential intra-systemic coordinative optimization and being practical,

no more that 1, 2 or even 3 inter-systemic interactions is recommended.

Examples:

- a) Intra-systemic Coordinative + Inter-systemic Conditional
- b) Intra-systemic Coordinative + Inter-systemic Conditional + Inter-systemic Cognitive
- c) Intra-systemic Coordinative + Inter-systemic Conditional + Inter-systemic Cognitive + Inter-systemic Socio-Affective





COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION AS PART OF A TRAINING SESSION

The Inter-systemic coordinative optimization can be proposed **after or between any other situation of preferential optimization of other structures** (cognitive, conditional, socio-affective, emotional-volitional, expressive-creative, ...). This will depend on how are the sequences of priorities optimizations designed in the training session.

Examples:

- a) Intra-systemic Conditional + Inter-systemic Coordinative.
- b) Intra-systemic Cognitive and Emotional-Volitional + Inter-systemic Coordinative
- c) Intra-systemic Socio-Affective + Inter-systemic Coordinative + Intra-systemic Conditional





TYPES OF SPORTS CRITERIA FOR COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION

In all type of skills it is necessary to deal with real competition or as close as possible considering the complexity of the athlete

SITUATIONS FOR INTRA-SYSTEMIC COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION	PRIORITY INTER-SYSTEMIC OPTIMIZATION
In sports like athletics and gymnastics , the 1 on 0 situations are appropriated for intra-systemic coordinative optimization since is the reality of these type of sports.	Space-Time Cognitive in interaction with Conditional.New interactions among all structures.
In sports like mountain sports, mountain running trails, sailing sports , etc, the 1 on 0 situations are appropriated for intra-systemic coordinative optimization since is the reality of these type of sports.	Emotional-Volitional in interaction with variability of environment conditions. New interactions among all structures.
The 1 on 1 situations in duel and fighting sports and small group situations in team sports (1 on 2, 2 on 2, 3 on 2, 3 on 1,) would be the most appropriated for intra-systemic coordinative optimization, reducing or eliminating the practice of 1 on 0 situations since there are too far from reality.	Cognitive in interaction with Socio-Affective. New interactions among all structures.
	David Ribera-Nebot Sports Performance Institut

Sant Cugat del Vallès

CONCLUSION · COORDINATIVE OPTIMIZATION

The practical methodologies of coordinative optimization proposed by professor Seirul·lo Vargas provide insight into:

(1) the identification of coordinative needs of a particular athlete in a structural criterion (motor control, spatial implementation and temporal adequacy),

(2) the optimization of all aspects of coordinative structure in depth and in detail,

(3) the creation of training methodologies that includes the complexity of the athlete, by intra-systemic and inter-systemic optimizations, and

(4) the design of self-control and self-evaluation methods for a personalized proposal of an optimal training process.





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

I hope you have liked !!



David Ribera-Nebot drn@movementsciences.com

David Ribera-Nebot

